Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,681	RILEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karie O'Neill	1795
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Karie O'Neill</u> .	(3) Emily Whelan (attorn	<u>ey)</u> .
(2) Mark Ruthkosky (primary examiner).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 January 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representat	ive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Chiang et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)[	] N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the difference Disussed the term "arbitrarty form factor" and the definition</u>	s between the prior art and	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments tha	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has alrea OF ONE MONTH OR THIR ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	dy been filed, APPLICANT IS TY DAYS FROM THIS M, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's si	gnature, if required